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## Static networks

- Static network (= broadcast-and-select network) is a purely optical shared medium network
- passive splitting and combining nodes are interconnected by fibers to provide static connectivity among some or all OTs and ORs
- OTs broadcast and ORs select
- Broadcast star network is an example of such a static network
- star coupler combines all signals and broadcasts them to all ORs - static optical multi-cast paths from any station to the set of all stations - no wavelength selectivity at the network node
- optical connection is created by tuning the source OT and/or destination OR to the same wavelength
- two OTs must operate at different wavelengths (to avoid interference) - this is called the distinct channel assignment (DCA) constraint
- however, two ORs can be tuned to the same wavelength - by this way, optical multi-cast connections are created


## Realization of logical connectivity

- Methods to realize full point-to-point logical connectivity in a broadcast star with N nodes:
- WDM/WDMA
- a whole $\lambda$-channel allocated for each LC
- $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{N}-1$ ) wavelengths needed (one for each LC)
- N -1 transceivers needed in each NAS
- TDM/TDMA
- $1 /[\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{N}-1)]$ of a $\lambda$-channel allocated for each LC
- 1 wavelength needed
- 1 transceiver needed in each NAS
- TDM/T-WDMA
- $1 /(N-1)$ of a $\lambda$-channel allocated for each LC
- N wavelengths needed (one for each OT)
- 1 transceiver needed in each NAS, e.g. fixed OT and tunable OR (FT-TR), or tunable OT and fixed OR (TT- FR)


## Broadcast star using WDM/WDMA


$[a, b]=$ logical connection from port on station $a$ to one on station $b$

## Broadcast star using TDM/TDMA



## Effect of propagation delay on TDM/TDMA



## Broadcast star using TDM/T-WDMA in FT-TR mode



## Broadcast star using TDM/T-WDMA in TT-FR mode



## Channel allocation schedules for circuit switching

|  | WDM/WDMA |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\lambda_{1}$ | $[1,2]$ | $[1,2]$ | $[1,2]$ | $[1,2]$ |
| $\lambda_{2}$ | $[1,3]$ | $[1,3]$ | $[1,3]$ | $[1,3]$ |
| $\lambda_{3}$ | $[2,1]$ | $[2,1]$ | $[2,1]$ | $[2,1]$ |
| $\lambda_{4}$ | $[2,3]$ | $[2,3]$ | $[2,3]$ | $[2,3]$ |
| $\lambda_{5}$ | $[3,1]$ | $[3,1]$ | $[3,1]$ | $[3,1]$ |
| $\lambda_{6}$ |  | $[3,2]$ | $[3,2]$ | $[3,2]$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## frame

TDM/T-WDMA with FT-TR




## frame

TDM/T-WDMA with TT-FR
$\left.\begin{array}{l|l|l|l|}\lambda_{1} & {[2,1]} & {[3,1]} & {[2,1]}\end{array}\right][3,1]$

$\lambda_{2}$| $[3,2]$ | $[1,2]$ | $[3,2]$ | $[1,2]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\lambda_{3}\left[\begin{array}{lll}{[1,3]} & {[2,3]} & {[1,3]}\end{array}\right][2,3]$
frame
Channel allocation schedule (CAS) should be

- realizable = only one LC per each OT and time-slot
- collision-free = only one LC per each $\lambda$ and time-slot
- conflict-free = only one LC per each OR and time-slot

TDM/TDMA
$\lambda_{1}[1,2][[1,3][2,1][2,3][3,1]|[3,2][1,2]|[1,3][2,1][2,3] \mid[3,1][[3,2]$
frame

## Packet switching in the optical layer

- Fixed capacity allocation, produced by periodic frames, is well adapted to stream-type traffic. However, in the case of bursty packet traffic this approach may produce a very poor performance
- By implementing packet switching in the optical layer, it is possible to maintain a very large number of LCs simultaneously using dynamic capacity allocation
- packets are processed in TPs/RPs of the NASs (but not in ONNs)
- TPs can schedule packets based on instantaneous demand
- as before, broadcast star is used as a shared medium
- control of this shared optical medium requires a Medium Access Control (MAC) protocol

> NAS equipped for packet switching


## Additional comments on static networks

- The broadcast-and-select principle cannot be scaled to large networks for three reasons:
- Spectrum use: Since all transmissions share the same fibers, there is no possibility of optical spectrum reuse => the required spectrum typically grows at least proportionally to the number of transmitting stations
- Protocol complexity: Synchronization problems, signaling overhead, time delays, and processing complexity all increase rapidly with the number of stations and with the number of LCs.
- Survivability: There are no alternate routes in case of a failure. Furthermore, a failure at the star coupler can bring the whole network down.
- For these reasons, a practical limit on the number of stations in a broadcast star is approximately 100
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## Wavelength Routed Networks (WRN)

- Wavelength routed network (WRN) is a purely optical network
- each $\lambda$-channel can be recognized in the ONNs (= wavelength selectivity) and routed individually
- ONNs are typically wavelength selective cross-connects (WSXC)
- network is dynamic (allowing switched connections)
- a static WRN (allowing only dedicated connections) can be built up using static wavelength routers
- All optical paths and connections are point-to-point
- each point-to-point LC corresponds to a point-to-point OC
- full point-to-point logical/optical connectivity among N stations requires N -1 transceivers in each NAS
- multipoint logical connectivity only possible by several point-to-point optical connections using WDMWDMA


## Static wavelength routed star

- Full point-to-point logical/optical connectivity in a static wavelength routed star with N nodes can be realized by WDM/WDMA
- a whole $\lambda$-channel allocated for each LC
- N -1 wavelengths needed - spectrum reuse factor is N ( = N(N-1) optical connections / N-1 wavelengths)
- N -1 transceivers needed in each NAS


## Static wavelength routed star using WDM/WDMA



## Routing and channel assignment

- Consider a WRN equipped with WSXCs (or wavelength routers)
- no wavelength conversion possible
- Establishment of an optical connection requires
- channel assignment
- routing
- Channel assignment (executed in the $\lambda$-channel sublayer) involves
- allocation of an available wavelength to the connection and
- tuning of the transmitting and receiving station to the assigned wavelength
- Routing (executed in the optical path sublayer) involves
- determination of a suitable optical path for the assigned $\lambda$-channel
- setting-up of the switches in the network nodes to establish that path


## Channel assignment constraints

- Following two channel assignment constraints apply to WRNs
- wavelength continuity: wavelength of each optical connection remains the same on all links it traverses from source to destination
- wavelength continuity is unique to transparent optical networks, making routing and wavelength assignment a more challenging task than the related problem in conventional networks
- distinct channel assignment (DCA): all optical connections sharing a common fiber must be assigned distinct $\lambda$-channels (i.e. distinct wavelengths)
- this applies to access links as well as inter-nodal links

- although DCA is necessary to ensure distinguishability of signals on the same fiber, it is possible (and generally advantageous) to reuse the same wavelength on fiber-disjoint paths



## Routing and channel assignment (RCA) problem

- Routing and channel assignment (RCA) is a fundamental control problem in large optical networks
- Generally, the RCA problem for dedicated connections can be treated off-line => computationally intensive optimization techniques are appropriate
- On the other hand, RCA decisions for switched connections must be made rapidly, and hence suboptimal heuristics must normally be used

dedicated



## Example bi-directional ring with elementary NASs

- Consider a bi-directional ring of 5 nodes and stations with single access fiber pairs
- Full point-to-point logical/optical connectivity requires
-4 wavelengths => spectrum reuse factor is $20 / 4=5$
- 4 transceivers in each NAS
physical topology


| $\begin{align*} & \text { U }  \tag{1}\\ & \text { O } \\ & \text { O } \\ & \text { © } \end{align*}$ |  | Destination |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|  | 1 | -- | 1L | 2L | 3R | 4R |
|  | 2 | 1R | -- | 3L | 4L | 2R |
|  | 3 | 3R | 4R | -- | 2L | 1L |
|  | 4 | 4L | 2R | 1R | -- | 3L |
|  | 5 | 2L | 3L | 4R | 1R | -- |

RCA

## Example bi-directional ring with non-blocking NASs

- Consider a bi-directional ring of 5 nodes and stations with two access fiber pairs
- Full point-to-point logical/optical connectivity requires
- 3 wavelengths => spectrum reuse factor is $20 / 3=6.67$
- 4 transceivers in each NAS
physical topology

...... $\lambda_{1}$
$-\lambda_{2}$


Fiber from ONN1 to ONN2
$-\lambda_{3}$ $\qquad$

## Example mesh network with elementary NASs

- Consider a mesh network of 5 nodes and stations with single access fiber pairs
- Full point-to-point logical/optical connectivity requires
- 4 wavelengths => spectrum reuse factor is 20/4 = 5
- 4 transceivers in each NAS
- despite the richer physical topology, no difference with the corresponding

physical topology bi-directional ring (thus, the access fibers are the bottleneck)
$\qquad$


## Example mesh network with non-blocking NASs

- Consider a mesh network of 5 nodes and stations with three/four access fiber pairs
- Full point-to-point logical/optical connectivity requires
- only 2 wavelengths
$=>$ spectrum reuse factor is 20/2 $=10$
- 4 transceivers in each NAS

physical topology

RCA?
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## Linear Lightwave Networks (LLN)

- Linear lightwave network (LLN) is a purely optical network
- nodes perform (only) strictly linear operations on optical signals
- This class includes
- both static and wavelength routed networks
- but also something more
- The most general type of LLN has waveband selective LDC nodes
- LDC performs controllable optical signal dividing, routing and combining
- these functions are required to support multipoint optical connectivity
- Waveband selectivity in nodes means that
- optical path layer routes signals as bundles that contain all $\lambda$-channels within one waveband
- Thus, all layers of connectivity and their interrelations must be examined carefully


## Routing and channel assignment constraints

- Two constraints of WRNs need also to be satisfied by LLNs
- Wavelength continuity: wavelength of each optical connection remains the same on all the links it traverses from source to destination
- Distinct channel assignment (DCA): all optical connections sharing a common fiber must be assigned distinct $\lambda$-channels
- Additionally, the following two routing constraints apply to LLNs
- Inseparability: channels combined on a single fiber and located within the same waveband cannot be separated within the network - this is a consequence of the fact that the LDCs operate on the aggregate power carried within each waveband
- Distinct source combining (DSC): only signals from distinct sources are allowed to be combined on the same fiber
- DSC condition forbids a signal from spliting, taking multiple paths, and then recombining with itself
- otherwise, combined signals would interfere with each other


## Inseparability



Avoidance of fortuitous paths


## Inseparability (cont.)

- Two connections (that use signals $\mathbf{S}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{2}$ ) are in the same waveband
- Power of $\mathbf{S}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ combined on link a => to avoid interference, connections should use different wavelengths or different time-slots on a common wavelength
- At node B, both connections routed towards their destinations
- Since $\mathbf{S}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ are in the same waveband, both signals are multicasted towards destination 1' and 2'
=> both signals branch out from their original paths (to fortuitous paths)
=> waste of fiber resources
=> waste of signal power
- A good design principle includes avoidance of fortuitous paths


## Two violations of DSC


=> Combining signals interfere with each other
=> Garbling of information

## Inadvertent violation of DSC



- Correct but poor routing decisions may produce inadvertent violation of DSC constraint
- Due to inseparability $\mathbf{S}_{3}$ carries $\mathbf{S}_{1}+\mathbf{S}_{2}$ with it
=> all three connections in the same waveband on different $\lambda \mathrm{s}$ (on link f)
=> $\mathbf{S}_{1}$ information (at destination 1') garbled
- Problem avoided if $\mathbf{S}_{3}$ in different waveband


## Two other ways to avoid DSC violations

Rerouting of $\mathrm{S}_{2}$


Rerouting of $\mathrm{S}_{3}$


## Color clash

Connection 1 and 2 can use the same wavelength $\left(\lambda_{1}\right)$, because they travel on different links.


New connection 3 uses signal $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{3}}$, which is in the same band as $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$. $=>\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ collide, because they use the same wavelength $\left(\lambda_{1}\right)$.


## Power distribution

- In a LDC it is possible to specify combining and dividing ratios
- ratios determine how power from sources is distributed to destinations
- combining and dividing ratios can be set differently for each waveband
- How should these ratios be chosen?
- The objective could be
- to split each source's power equally among all destinations it reaches
- to combine equally all sources arriving at the same destination
- Resultant end-to-end power transfer coefficients are independent of
- routing paths through the network
- number of nodes they traverse
- order in which signals are combined and split
- Coefficients depend only on
- number of destinations for each source
- number of sources reaching each destination


## Illustration of power distribution



## Multipoint subnets in LLNs

- Attempt to set up several point-to-point optical connections within a common waveband leads to unintentional creation of multipoint paths => complications in routing, channel assignment and power distribution
- On the other hand, waveband routing leads to more efficient use of the optical spectrum
- In addition, the multipoint optical path capability is useful when creating intentional multipoint optical connections
- LLNs can deliver a high degree of logical connectivity with minimal optical hardware in the access stations
- this is one of the fundamental advantages of LLNs over WRNs
- Multipoint optical connections can be utilized when creating a full logical connectivity among specified clusters of stations within a larger network => such fully connected clusters are called multipoint subnets (MPS)


## Example - seven stations on a mesh

- Consider a network containing seven stations interconnected on a LLN with a mesh physical topology and bidirectional fiber links
- notation for fiber labeling: $a$ and $a^{\prime}$ form a fiber pair with opposite directions
- Set of stations $\{2,3,4\}$ should be interconnected to create a MPS with full logical connectivity
- This can be achieved, e.g. by creating an optical path on a single waveband in the form of a tree joining the three stations (embedded broadcast star)


LCG


LCH

## Realization of MPS by a tree embedded in mesh



## Root of broadcast star

- all signals routed to the root and combined signal broadcasted to all stations
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## Seven-station example

- Assume:
- non-blocking access stations
- each transmitter runs at a bit rate of $R_{0}$
- Physical topologies (PT):
- bi-directional ring
- mesh
- multistar of seven physical stars
- Logical topologies (LT):
- fully connected (point-to-point logical topology with 42 edges) realized by using WRN
- fully shared (hypernet logical topology with a single hyperedge) realized using a broadcast-and-select network (LLN of a single MPS)
- partially shared (hypernet of seven hyperedges) realized by using LLN of seven MPSs


## Physical topologies



## Fully connected LT - WRN realizations

- Ring PT:
$-6 \lambda s$ with spectrum reuse factor of $42 / 6=7$
=> RCA?
- 6 transceivers in each NAS
$\Rightarrow$ network capacity $=7 * 6=42 R_{0}$
- Mesh PT:
$-4 \lambda s$ with spectrum reuse factor of $42 / 4=10.5$
=> RCA?
-6 transceivers in each NAS
$\Rightarrow$ network capacity $=7 * 6=42 R_{0}$
- Multistar PT:
$-2 \lambda s$ with spectrum reuse factor of $42 / 2=21$ => RCA?


LCG
$\Rightarrow$ network capacity $=7^{*} 6=42 R_{0}$

## Fully shared LT - Broadcast and select network realizations

- Any PT
- WDM/WDMA:
$-42 \lambda s$ with spectrum reuse factor of 1
-6 transceivers in each NAS

$$
\Rightarrow \text { network capacity }=7^{*} 6=42 R_{0}
$$

- TDM/T-WDMA in FT-TR mode:
$-7 \lambda s$ with spectrum reuse factor of 1
- 1 transceiver in each NAS
$\Rightarrow$ network capacity $=7^{*} 1=7 R_{0}$
- TDM/TDMA:
$-1 \lambda$ with spectrum reuse factor of 1
- 1 transceiver in each NAS


LCH

## Partially shared LT - LLN realizations

- Note: Full logical connectivity among all stations
- Mesh PT using TDM/T-WDMA in FT-TR mode:
- 2 wavebands with spectrum reuse factor of $7 / 2=3.5$ => RCA?
$-3 \lambda s$ per waveband
- 3 transceivers in each NAS
$\Rightarrow$ network capacity $=7 * 3=21 R_{0}$
- Multistar PT using TDM/T-WDMA in FT-TR mode:
- 1 waveband with spectrum reuse factor of $7 / 1=7$ => RCA?
$-3 \lambda s$ per waveband
- 3 transceivers in each NAS


LCH
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## Logically Routed Networks (LRN)

- For small networks, high logical connectivity is reasonably achieved by purely optical networks. However, when moving to larger networks, the transparent optical approach soon reaches its limits.
- For example, to achieve full logical connectivity among 22 stations on a bi-directional ring using wavelength routed point-to-point optical connections 21 transceivers are needed in each NAS and totally 61 wavelengths. Economically and technologically, this is well beyond current capabilities.
=> we must turn to electronics (i.e. logically routed networks)
- Logically routed network (LRN) is a hybrid optical network
- which performs logical switching (by logical switching nodes (LSN)) on top of a transparent optical network
-LSNs create an extra layer of connectivity between the end systems and NASs


## Difference between logical connections in purely optical network and LRN



## Two approaches to create full connectivity

- Multihop networks based on point-to-point logical topologies
- realized by WRNs
- Hypernets based on multipoint logical topologies
- realized by LLNs


## Point-to-point logical topologies

- In a point-to-point logical topology
- a hop corresponds to a logical link between two LSNs
- maximum throughput is inversely proportional to the average hop count
- One of the objectives of using logical switching on top of a transparent optical network is
- to reduce cost of station equipment (by reducing the number of optical transceivers and complexity of optics) while maintaining high network performance
- Thus, we are interested in logical topologies that
- achieve a small average number of logical hops at a low cost (i.e., small node degree and simple optical components)
- An example is a ShuffleNet
- for example, an eight-node ShuffleNet has 16 logical links and an average hop count of 2 (if uniform traffic is assumed)
- these networks are scalable to large sizes by adding stages and/or increasing the degree of the nodes


## Eight-node ShuffleNet


logical topology


LCG

## ShuffleNet embedded in a bi-directional ring WRN

- Bi-directional ring WRN with elementary NASs
$-2 \lambda s$ with spectrum reuse factor of $16 / 2=8$
-2 transceivers in each NAS
- average hop count = 2
$\Rightarrow$ network cap. $=8 * 2 / 2=8 R_{0}$



Note: station labeling!

## Details of a ShuffleNet node



## Multipoint logical topologies

- High connectivity may be maintained in transparent optical networks while economizing on optical resource utilization through the use of multipoint connections
- These ideas are even more potent when combined with logical switching
- For example, a ShuffleNet may be modified to a Shuffle Hypernet
- an 8-node Shuffle Hypernet has 4 hyperarcs
- each hyperarc presents a directed MPS that contains 2 transmitting and 2 receiving stations
- an embedded directed broadcast star is created to support each MPS
- for a directed star, a (physical) tree is found joining all stations in both the transmitting and receiving sets of the MPS
- any node on the tree can be chosen as a root
- LDCs on the tree are set to create optical paths from all stations in the transmitting set to the root node, and paths from the root to all receiving stations


## Eight-node Shuffle Hypernet


transformation


LCH

## Shuffle Hypernet embedded in a bidirectional ring LLN

- Bi-directional ring LLN with elementary

NASs using TDM/T-WDMA in FT-TR mode

- 1 waveband with spectrum reuse factor of $4 / 1=4$
- $2 \lambda$ s per waveband
- 1 transceiver in each NAS
$\Rightarrow$ network cap. $=8 * 1 / 2=4 R_{0}$

|  | inbound <br> fibers | root | outbound <br> fibers | $\mathbf{w}$ <br> band |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{E}_{1}$ | $a, b^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{c}^{\prime}$ | ONN5 | $\boldsymbol{b}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| $\mathbf{E}_{2}$ | $e, f^{\prime}, g^{\prime}$ | ONN8 | $f$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| $\mathbf{E}_{3}$ | $\boldsymbol{g}, \boldsymbol{a}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{h}^{\prime}$ | ONN2 | $\boldsymbol{h}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| $\mathbf{E}_{4}$ | $\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{d}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{e}^{\prime}$ | ONN3 | $\boldsymbol{d}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

RCA
Note: station and fiber labeling!

## Details of node in Shuffle Hypernet



Fibers between ONN3 and ONN1
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## Virtual connections - an ATM example

- Recall the problem of providing full connectivity among five locations
- suppose each location contains a number of end systems that access the network through an ATM switch. The interconnected switches form a transport network of $5 * 4=20 \mathrm{VPs}$.
- The following five designs are now examined and compared:
- Stand-alone ATM star
- Stand-alone ATM bi-directional ring
- ATM over a network of SONET cross-connects
- ATM over a WRN
- ATM over a LLN
- Traffic demand: each VP requires $600 \mathrm{Mbits} / \mathrm{s}$ ( $\approx$ STM-4/STS-12)
- Optical resources: $\lambda$-channels and transceivers run at the rate of 2.4 Gbits/s ( $\approx$ STM-16/STS-48)


## Stand-alone ATM networks


$\square$ ATM switch/cross-connect with transceiver

## Embedded ATM networks



DCS network


Optical network


Shared medium
(A)
ATM switch
(S)
SDH/SONET DCS
$\bigcirc$ ONN

## Case 1 - Stand-alone ATM star

- Fiber links are connected directly to ports on ATM switches creating a point-to-point optical connection for each fiber
- each link carries 4 VPs in each direction $\Rightarrow$ each optical connection needs 2.4

Gbits/s, which can be accommodated by using a single $\lambda$-channel

- one optical transceiver is needed to terminate each end of a link, for a total of 10 transceivers in the network
- Processing load is unequal:
- end nodes process their own 8 VPs carrying 4.8 Gbits/s
- center node 6 processes all 20 VPs carrying 12.0 Gbits/s $\Rightarrow$ bottleneck
- Inefficient utilization of fibers, because
- even though only one $\lambda$-channel is used, the total bandwidth of each fiber is dedicated to this system
- Poor survivability, since
- if any link is cut, network is cut in two
- if node 6 fails, the network is completely destroyed


## Case 2 - Stand-alone ATM bi-directional ring

- Fiber links are connected directly to ports on ATM switches, creating a point-to-point optical connection for each fiber
- assuming shortest path routing, each link carries 3 VPs in each direction $\Rightarrow$ each optical connection needs 1.8 Gbits/s, which can be accommodated using a single $\lambda$-channel (leaving $25 \%$ spare capacity)
- 1 optical transceiver is needed to terminate each end of a link, for a total of 10 transceivers in the network
- Equal processing load:
- each ATM node processes its own 8 VPs and 2 additional transit VPs carrying an aggregate traffic of 6.0 Gbits/s
- Thus,
- no processing bottleneck
- the same problem with optical spectrum allocation as in case 1
- but better survivability, since network can recover from any single link cut or node failure by rerouting the traffic


## Case 3 - ATM embedded in DCS network

- ATM end nodes access DCSs through 4 electronic ports
- Fiber links are now connected to ports on DCSs, creating a point-to-point optical connection for each fiber
- each link carries 4 VPs in each direction => each optical connection needs 2.4 Gbits/s, which can be accommodated using a single $\lambda$-channel
- again, 1 optical transceiver is needed to terminate each end of a link
- Processing load is lighter
- ATM nodes process their own 8 VPs carrying 4.8 Gbits/s
- but it is much simpler to perform VP cross-connect functions at the STM-4/STS-12 level than at the ATM cell level (as was done in case 1)
- a trade-off must be found between optical spectrum utilization and costs
- the more $\lambda$-channels on each fiber (to carry "background" traffic), the more (expensive) transceivers are needed
- Survivability and reconfigurability are good
- since alternate paths and additional bandwidth exist in the DCS network


## Case 4 - ATM embedded in a WRN

- DCSs are now replaced by optical nodes containing WSXCs
- Each ATM end node is connected electronically to a NAS
- Each VP in the virtual topology must be supported by
- a point-to-point optical connection occupying one $\lambda$-channel
- 4 tranceivers are needed in each NAS (and totally 20 transceivers)
- however, no tranceivers are needed in the network nodes
- With an optimal routing and wavelength assignment,
- the 20 VPs can be carried using 4 wavelengths ( $=800 \mathrm{GHz}$ )
- Processing load is very light
- due to optical switching (without optoelectronic conversion at each node)
- Note: ATM nodes still process their own 8 VPs carrying 4.8 Gbits/s
- As in case 3, survivability and reconfigurability are good
- since alternate paths and additional bandwidth exist in the underlying WRN


## Case 5 - ATM embedded in an LLN

- WSXCs are now replaced by LDCs
- A single waveband is assigned to the ATM network, and the LDCs are set to create an embedded tree (MPS) on that waveband
- the 20 VPs are supported by a single hyperedge in the logical topology
- since each $\lambda$-channel can carry $4 \mathrm{VPs}, 5 \lambda$-channels are needed totally, all in the same waveband ( $=200 \mathrm{GHz}$ )
- only 1 transceiver is needed in each NAS (and totally 5 transceivers) using TDM/T-WDMA in FT-TR mode
- Processing load is again very light
- due to optical switching (without optoelectronic conversion at each node)
- Note: ATM nodes still process their own 8 VPs carrying 4.8 Gbits/s
- As in cases 3 and 4, survivability and reconfigurability are good
- since alternate paths and additional bandwidth exist in the underlying LLN


## Comparison of ATM network realizations

|  | Optical <br> spectrum <br> usage | Number of <br> optical <br> transceivers | Node <br> processing <br> load | Others |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Very high | 10 | Very high | Poor survivability |
| 2 | Very high | 10 | High | - |
| 3 | Lowest | 10 | Medium | High DCS |
| 4 | Medium | 20 | Very low | - |
| 5 | Low | 5 | Very low | Rapid tunability <br> required, optical <br>  |

Case 1- Stand done ATM star
Case 2- Stand done ATM bi drectional ring
Case 3- ATM embedded in DCS network
Case 4- ATM embedded in WRN
Case 5- ATM embedded in LLN

