Security building blocks: cryptology

Markus Peuhkuri

2005-02-08

Lecture topics

- Cryptology
- Encryption
- Secure hash

Security and cryptology

- Information security = crypto?
- A significant art by itself
 ⇒ not something average M.Sc (Eng) must master
- Cryptography only a part of solution
- One need to know
 - which cryptographic methods to select
 - how to use those (and how not to use)
- Significant development in last 30, 10 years

What we like to do?

- 1. Conceal information
- 2. Verify information integrity
- 3. Make sure that we have access to information
- First two are (somewhat) served with cryptology
- Third one may help needs lots of work
 - because how security protocols are designed, DoS with crypto can be easy

Terminology

 $\mathbf{Plaintext}\ \mathcal{M}$ is information we want to protect

 $\mathbf{Ciphertext} \ \mathcal{C} \ \mathrm{in \ protected \ form}$

Enciphering transforms plaintext to ciphertext

Deciphering transforms ciphertext to plaintext

Key \mathcal{K} is used to decipher ciphertext

Public key \mathcal{K}_p anyone can have access to

Secret key \mathcal{K}_s only owner may have access to

Message digest $\mathcal{H} = h(\mathcal{M})$, fixed length value

Attacks to defeat crypto

ciphertext only is known, and one tries to find corresponding plaintext (and key)known plaintext and corresponding known ciphertext: one tries to find keychosen plaintext attack: attacker can feed plaintexts to system and receive ciphertexts

Kerckhoffs' six design principles

- 1. The system must be practically, if not mathematically, indecipherable;
- 2. It must not be required to be secret, and it must be able to fall into the hands of the enemy without inconvenience;
- 3. Its key must be communicable and retainable without the help of written notes, and changeable or modifiable at the will of the correspondents;
- 4. It must be applicable to telegraphic correspondence;
- 5. It must be portable, and its usage and function must not require the concourse of several people;
- 6. Finally, it is necessary, given the circumstances that command its application, that the system be easy to use, requiring neither mental strain nor the knowledge of a long series of rules to observe.

The more system has secrets, the more brittle it is. The key is the easiest component to change. This is also known as Shannon's Maxim.

Design principles

- Confusion
 - complex relationship between \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{C}
 - e.g. substitution
- Diffusion
 - no statistical relationship between ${\mathcal M}$ and ${\mathcal C}$
 - one-bit change in \mathcal{M} results change in every bit in \mathcal{C} with $P = \frac{1}{2}$
 - avalanche effect
 - e.g. transposition

Ciphers

- Substitution cipher
 - plaintext ${\mathcal M}$ enciphers always to ${\mathcal C}$ with key ${\mathcal K}$
 - Caesar cipher: $C = (M + K) \mod 26, K = 3$
 - modern block ciphers (block size 64 bits (8 bytes: $1.8 * 10^{19}$ different blocks) or more)
- One-time pad
 - unbreakable cipher (Vernam cipher)
 - if \mathcal{K} used only once
 - \mathcal{K} as long as \mathcal{M}
 - stream ciphers emulate
- Message digests
 - take arbitrary long \mathcal{M} producing fixed-length digest \mathcal{D}

Block ciphers

- Few basic types
 - SP-networks (substitution-permutation networks)
 - Feistel ciphers
 - * data halved, halves mixed with round function
- Operation modes

electronic code book (ECB) used as substitution cipher

- same \mathcal{M} encrypts to same \mathcal{C} with same \mathcal{K}
- vulnerable to cut-and-splice

cipher block chaining (CBC) uses previous ciphertext $C_{i-1} \oplus M_i$

- initialisation vector \mathcal{IV}^1
 - \Rightarrow randomises first block $\mathcal{IV} \oplus \mathcal{M}_1$
- still possible to defeat integrity

output feedback (OFB) used as stream cipher $\mathcal{K}_1 = \{\mathcal{IV}\}_k, \, \mathcal{K}_i = \{\mathcal{K}_{i-1}\}_k$

counter encryption $\mathcal{K}_i = \{\mathcal{IV} + i\}_k$

- possible to parallelise

 $\mathbf{cipher}\ \mathbf{feedback}\ \mathcal{C}$ is encrypted with \mathcal{K} and XOR with plaintext

– recovers from transmission errors

message authentication code (MAC) to verify integrity

- CBC mode, all but latest block discarded: keyed hash function

Data Encryption Standard (DES)

- Feistel cipher
- Developed by IBM in 1970s, modified by NSA, federal standard (FIPS-46) 1976
- Key length 56 bits

 \Rightarrow too short for now adays

- 1998 EFF "Deep Crack" (cost USD250,000) broke DES challenge in 56 hours with brute force
- Four weak and 16 semi-weak keys
- More lifetime with Triple DES
 - $\mathcal{C} = \mathrm{DES}_{\mathcal{K}_3}(\mathrm{DES}_{\mathcal{K}_2}^{-1}(\mathrm{DES}_{\mathcal{K}_1}(\mathcal{M})))$
 - efficient key length 112 bits, while some advertise 168 bit key The second step could be also DES encryption, but on some hardware-based systems decrypting gives better performance.

Advanced Encryption Standard (AES)

- SP-network
- Subset of Rijndael (fixed block length 128 bits)
- Efficient also on small systems (smartcards etc.)
 - AES-128 about as fast as DES² \Rightarrow 3 times faster than Triple DES
- Key length 128, 196 or 256, the shortest not for Top Secret in US

• FIPS-197

¹Can be embedded into message

 $^{^2 \}mathrm{On}$ modern 32-bit computer.

Stream ciphers

- Cryptographic secure pseudo-random number generator
- XOR by bit or by byte (synchronous stream cipher)
- Popular in communications
 - byte-sized: no need to pad blocks
 - simple implementation on hardware: for example A5/1 needs only three shift registers (19, 22, and 23 bits) and some XOR ports
- Vulnerable to bit-fiddling: if one knows that interesting bit at position N should be inverted, one can just change it from bit stream. On the other hand this provides some protection from transmission errors: with block ciphers one will end with invalid data onwards.
- RC4 used in SSL, WEP
- A5/1 and A5/2 in GSM
- Both have security problems, A5/2 very weak

Asymmetric ciphers

- Symmetric cipher provides us secrecy only if we can communicate key to other party secretly ⇒ key management becomes problem
- Problem that is
 - easy to construct
 - hard solve without
 - specific knowledge (private key)
- NP complete problems are candidate
- Providing digital signatures without third party

RSA

- Factoring large numbers is hard
- Public key:
 - -n = pq, p and q large primes
 - e relatively prime for (p-1)(q-1)
- Private key:
 - $\ d = e^{-1} \bmod ((p-1)(q-1))$
- Encrypting: $c = m^e \mod n$
- Decrypting: $m = c^d \mod n$

ElGamal

- Discrete logarithm in finite field
- $y = g^x \mod p$, prime p, random numbers g < p, x < p
- Public key: y, g, and p
- Private key: \boldsymbol{x}
- Signature: random k (relatively prime for p-1, must be kept secret)

 $-a = g^k \mod p$, solve b from $M = (xa + kb) \mod (p-1)$

- verify: $y^a a^b \mod = g^M \mod p$
- Encrypting: $a = g^k \mod p, \ b = y^k M \mod p$
- Decrypting: $M = b/a^x \mod p$

Message digest functions

- Calculating signature for a long document
 - time-consuming
 - as long (or longer) than original document
- Verifying document integrity
 - signed digest
 - digest stored / communicated securely. For example, there can be a list of hashes of all system programs. If any of those is modified, it may be detected comparing hashes.
- Cryptographic checksum function
 - 1. $\mathcal{H} = h(\mathcal{M})$ easy to compute
 - 2. infeasible to find \mathcal{M} for given \mathcal{H}
 - 3. infeasible to find $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'$ such that $h(\mathcal{M}) = h(\mathcal{M}')$
- Birthday attack: $2^{\frac{n}{2}}$

Secure hash algorithms in use

MD5 designed in 1991

- 128-bit
- some weakness found, maybe insecure for demanding applications

 ${\bf SHA-1}\,$ federal standard 180-2

- 160-bit
- original SHA (1993, SHA-0) vulnerable to 2^{40}
- longer versions to 512 bits; not analysed in depth

RIPEMD-160 European algorithm

• 160-bit, also longer ones

HMAC: keyed hash

- Used for authentication with shared secret
- $h(\mathcal{K} \oplus opad||h(\mathcal{K} \oplus ipad||\mathcal{M}))$
 - *ipad* and *opad* select different bits from \mathcal{K}
- Protects \mathcal{K} from eavesdropping

What cipher to choice

- How to distribute keys
- What trust model one has
- Any performance constrains
- Using public algorithms gives comfort

Failures on ciphers

- Even if you take a good algorithm, wrong use may result bad results
- Bad algorithm is always bad
- *Do not modify* cryptographic algorithm: adding rounds or increasing key length may result in worse algorithm.
- Check that you use cryptographic as planned
 - stream ciphers: use different \mathcal{IV} each time
 - MS Office uses same \mathcal{IV} for all saves of same document

WEP: Wired Equivalent Privacy

- Use of encryption optional
 ⇒ system administration failures
- No key management: use of shared key
- CRC-32 used for integrity check
 - linear protocol: possible to fix changes with stream cipher
- \mathcal{IV} only 24 bit
 - wraps around in a day (or faster)
 - shared key \Rightarrow same \mathcal{IV} by multiple hosts
- Attacks
 - statistical analysis for packets with same $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{V}$
 - injecting known traffic e.g. from Internet enables decrypting packets with same \mathcal{IV}
 - if RC4 stream for one packet is known, it is possible to send encrypted packets with same \mathcal{IV}
 - bit-fiddling attacks to change content or destination of packets
 - bad key generators in software: key space is 2^{21} , not 2^{64}
 - dictionary attack on keys

Key lengths: what is safe

- How long information must stay secret
- Symmetric ciphers
 - risk: fundamental weakness will be found or advances in computing
 - 64 bit cipher broken: RSA RC5 challenge
 - 128 bits should be OK
 - 196–256 bit AES key for Top Secret
- Asymmetric ciphers
 - risk: advance in mathematics or in computing
 - 576-bit key factored

prime bits	symmetric
1024	80
2048	112
3072	128
15360	256

- elliptic curves: double to symmetric keys

Algorithm	relative speed
DES CBC	1000
RC4	3638
AES 128	921
AES 196	796
AES 256	705
RSA 1024 sign	7 / 1000
RSA 1024 verify	132 / 1000
RSA 4096 sign	0.2 / 1000
RSA 4096 verify	12 / 1000
MD5	4992
SHA-1	3360

Some performance figures for 1 KiB blocks

Summary

- Bits do not matter (much)
- Important to select right algorithm for right use
- Hardware used may impose some limitations