



Mobility management in IP networks & Mobile IP

Lecture slides for S-38.192
16.2.2006
Mika Ilvesmäki



Learning goals in Mobility Management & Mobile IP

- After this lecture you will know
 - Reasons why mobility and its management is not a straightforward task in the Internet
 - What are the mobility design guidelines and mobility management tasks
 - How Mobile IP works and what enhancements have been proposed (and what additional functionality they introduce)
 - How different traffic types are forwarded in Mobile IP –environment
- After reading the article "An Evaluation of Current QoS solutions for Mobile IP networks" by Agarwal et al. you will be able to
 - List and briefly explain the challenges that QoS solutions face in the Mobile IP environment
 - List and briefly explain the shortcomings of using standard RSVP-protocol in Mobile IP environment
 - List and briefly explain the advantages and disadvantages of using advance resource reservation –solutions in Mobile IP -environment



General notes on mobility

- Mobility in communications consists of various technologies and aspects
 - Wireless transmission
 - Using the frequency space
 - Multiplexing, modulation, spread spectrum, cellular systems
 - Medium access control
 - SDMA, FDMA, TDMA, CDMA
 - Communication systems
 - GSM, DECT, TETRA, UMTS, Satellite systems, Broadcast systems
- Mobility may occur on 1) Access-level(OSI 2), 2) Network-level (OSI 3) 3) Transport-level (OSI 4)



What is mobility?

- A node moving from a location to another location while preserving its original IP address
 - Horizontal handover in the IP level regardless that we (most probably) need vertical handover in layer 2.
 - Different layer 2 networks are (usually) separated by routers (or gateways)
- On the border of different layer 2 networks the change of IP address has to be notified
 - For instance when moving from WLAN to GPRS
 - This would be YAP (Yet Another Protocol) ☹
 - and most probably it would also break up TCP connection state





Types of mobility

- **Global mobility**
 - (interdomain) movement across different domains
- **Macro mobility**
 - (intradomain) movement across different subnets within domain
- **Micro mobility**
 - (intrasubnet) movement within subnet



What moves? Services or users

- **Service mobility**
 - User moves and connects to his home network with arbitrary devices
 - VPNs, secure connections, WWW-mail services, etc.
- **User mobility**
 - User and the device moves and connects to his home network
 - Use of all home network services
 - Appearing to be in the home network



Why mobility in IP?

- Need to change physical media without breaking (TCP) connections
- People want **Wireless Network Access**
 - Ease and economy of operation
- **Continuous connectivity**
- Home network addressable from the entire Internet



Host routes – the easy solution?!


- **Spread knowledge on the movements to all Internet routers**
 - Assign a new address to the mobile node as it moves
 - This solution does not scale, overload of networks with location information
- **We need to restrict the circulation of location and IP address information to a minimum!**
 - Location independent identifier





Network scalability

- All technical solutions in the Internet should be scalable!
 - IETF requirement, code of practise
- Scalability in networks
 - If the number of information elements grows faster or at equal speed in the core of the network the solution does not scale
 - No sense in distributing information on a single user to all nodes in the network



Mobility design guidelines

- No modifications to (other) host operating systems
 - and preferably infrastructure independency
- Application transparency, seamless transitions
- No modifications to Internet routing
 - mobility solution needs to have location/mobility mgmnt, host routes are not an option in the Internet
- Compatibility with Internet Addressing
- No additional vulnerabilities should be introduced



Mobility management

- Location management
 - registration and location updates
 - to enable a network to discover the current location of a mobile node (MN)
 - Location-independent identifier (IP address, hostname, some other host id)
- Handoff management
 - to enable a network to maintain a connection while MN moves its location in the network



Mobility protocols in the Internet

Mobility	Protocol
Global mobility	Mobile IP (MIP)
	TR45.6 (WIPNA)
	Mobile IPv6
Global/macro mobility	HMIP
	HMIPv6
	TeleMIP
	DMA
Macro	HAWAII
Micro	TIMIP
	CIP





Global/macro mobility

- Mobile IP, Mobile IPv6
 - more details later
- Hierarchical MIP, HMIPv6
 - introduces hierarchy in FAs, establishes a tunnel from the MN to a gateway FA. Packet to MN travel thru this tunnel
 - MAP (mobility anchor point) acts as a local HA for a certain domain
 - MAP receives packets for the MN and forwards them to the link CoA
 - As long as MN is within the MAP influence the global CoA stays the same
- HAWAII (Handoff-Aware Wireless Access Internet Infrastructure)
 - Mixes the concepts of co-located CoA and FA CoA, no private address support
 - Local handovers by sending registration to base stations (FA)



Macro/Micromobility

- Cellular IP, CIP
 - Local handovers without renewed registration with CIP gateway
 - Requires changes into Mobile IP protocols
 - Not transparent to existing systems
 - Easy to manage, self-configuring
 - Packets forwarded via multiple paths, routing tables changed by mobile nodes -> not secure
- TIMIP (Terminal Independent Mobile IP)
 - Combination of CIP, HAWAII and MIP



Mobile IP standards

- Mobile IP is an IETF effort
 - dealt with in several workgroups
- Mobile IP is defined in IETF standards
 - RFC 2002, 2003, 2004, 2006
 - See also, RFC 1701 (GRE) and RFC 1321.
- Standards define
 - Agent discovery
 - Registration procedure
 - Tunneling
- Mobile IP is not widely used because of DHCP and VPNs provide email and web-access and NAT and firewalls block the Mobile IP functionality







Mobile IP design objectives


- Limit the size and frequency of route updates
 - preserve host address regardless of location
- Simple implementation
- Simple and straightforward use of address space without resorting to assumptions on address availability



HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY Mika Dromiński, D.Sc. (Tech.)

Mobile IP components

- Mobile  and correspondent  nodes
- Foreign Agents (IPv4 only) 
- Home Agents 
- Tunnels -----
- Care-of- addresses



HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY Mika Dromiński, D.Sc. (Tech.)

Mobile IP basic features


- Only the Home Agent knows where you are
 - This solution scales better
- With tunneling one is able
 - to forward packets from HomeAgent to MobileNode
 - And back, if necessary
 - to appear to be in one's home network
- Security is required but not restricted
 - The four building blocks
 - Confidentiality, Authentication, Integrity, Non-repudiation

Mobile IP transforms the mobility problem into a routing problem!

HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY Mika Dromiński, D.Sc. (Tech.)

Triangle routing and reverse tunneling


- CN sends to MN and traffic flows via HA (1., 2. and 3.)
- MN to CN
 - traffic may take the shortest path (4.)
 - If ingress filtering is in effect the traffic may be dropped
 - Solution: Reverse tunneling(5.)
 - Result: triangle routing with CN, HA and MN



HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY Mika Dromiński, D.Sc. (Tech.)

New route advertisements

- Home agent knows the true location of the MN
 - HA sends redirects to correspondent nodes (avoid triangle routes)
 - HA sends newFAinfo to oldFA and make oldFA redirect packets



HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY Mika Yrjölä, D.Sc. (Tech.)

Traffic forwarding – home network

- Home Agent intercepts packets sent to the Mobile Node and sends the packets tunneled to the MN
- How about home network ARP requests?
 - What about cached ARP-replies?

MN/IP	MN/L2	Registration request & reply
MN/IP	HA/L2	

Sent to all local nodes via gratuitous ARP

HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY Mika Yrjölä, D.Sc. (Tech.)

Traffic forwarding – Internet

- Home Agent intercepts packets sent to the Mobile Node and sends the packets tunneled to the MN
- ARP requests outside of the home network are answered with HA L2 address
 - proxyARP aka Gratuitous ARP

HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY Mika Yrjölä, D.Sc. (Tech.)

Receiving home network broadcast in foreign network

- Co-located address
 - Broadcast packets encapsulated and tunneled to the Mobile Node (tunnel exit point)

HA to MN (unicast)	Original broadcast packet
--------------------	---------------------------

Stripped away by the MN

- Foreign Agent address
 - Tunneled to the FA (tunnel exit point)
 - If link level broadcast, then packets have to be recursively encapsulated otherwise broadcasted in the foreign network -
 - >Requires (de)tunneling capability from the MN

HA to FA (unicast)	HA to MN (unicast)	Original broadcast packet
--------------------	--------------------	---------------------------

Stripped away by the FA Stripped away by the MN

HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY Mika Yrjölä, D.Sc. (Tech.)

Sending broadcasts

- Directed broadcasts sent as such
 - If allowed by the Home Agent
- Link layer broadcasts tunneled to the HA

MN to HA (unicast)	Original broadcast packet
--------------------	---------------------------

Stripped away by the HA

HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY Mika Yrjölä, D.Sc. (Tech.)

Multicast

- Multicasts are sent to the
 - Multicast router
 - No encapsulation/tunneling needed
 - HA that should have multicast routing capability
 - encapsulated and tunneled to the HA

MN to HA (unicast)	Original multicast packet
--------------------	---------------------------

Stripped away by the HA/MC router

- Multicast is received
 - normally as a group member (co-located address)
 - via HA as encapsulated/tunneled packets
 - may require recursive encapsulation

HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY Mika Yrjölä, D.Sc. (Tech.)

Mobile IPv6

- MN creates its own CoA with automatic address configuration
 - Stateful: DHCPv6
 - Stateless: Local subnet prefix as in Neighbor Discovery (RFC 2461, IPv6 ARP)+own hardware address
- MN may notify its correspondents when it moves (no more triangular routing)
- Correspondents put CoA in routing headers
- HA encapsulates packets if it gets them
- Binding updates carried in Destination Option

HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY Mika Yrjölä, D.Sc. (Tech.)

IPv4 vs. IPv6 and mobility

IPv4	IPv6
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MN, HA 2. MN home address 3. Foreign Agent 4. FA CoA/CoCoA 5. Address from <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Agent discovery 2. DHCP 3. Manually 6. Agent discovery 7. Tunneling 8. Routes optimized by a separate protocol 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MN, HA 2. Global home address and link-local address 3. Plain IPv6 router 4. All colocated CoAs 5. Address from <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Auto-configuration 2. DHCPv6 3. Manually 6. Router discovery (ICMPv6) 7. Source routing (option) or tunneling 8. Integrated route optimization

HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY Mika Yrjölä, D.Sc. (Tech.)

Home agent at home network edge

- Client based VPNs
- Direct connection to home (organization) network
- Requires Home Agent management resources from the organization
 - Flexible security solutions



Home agent at ISP network edge

- Requires less network experts in home network
- Outsources the HA management
 - Dependence on ISP choices on security etc.

