

# Security building blocks: cryptology

Markus Peuhkuri

2006-03-21

## Lecture topics

- Cryptology
- Encryption
- Secure hash

## Security and cryptology

- Information security = crypto?
- A significant art by itself  
⇒ not something average M.Sc (Eng) must master
- Cryptography only a part of solution
- One need to know
  - which cryptographic methods to select
  - how to use those (and how *not to use*)
- Significant development in last 30, 10 years

## What we like to do?

1. Conceal information
  2. Verify information integrity
  3. Make sure that we have access to information
- The first two are (somewhat) served with cryptology
  - For the third one cryptography *may* help — and *may* harm
    - because of how many security protocols are designed, DoS with cryptographic methods can be easy: for example in initial handshake the server may need to do complex calculations to determine that the other party is not authorised.

## Terminology

**Plaintext**  $\mathcal{M}$  is information we want to protect

**Ciphertext**  $\mathcal{C}$  is protected form of  $\mathcal{M}$

**Enciphering** transforms plaintext to ciphertext

**Deciphering** transforms ciphertext to plaintext

**Key**  $\mathcal{K}$  is used to decipher ciphertext

**Public key**  $\mathcal{K}_p$  anyone can have access to

**Secret key**  $\mathcal{K}_s$  only owner may have access to

**Initialisation Vector**  $\mathcal{IV}$  is known parameter

**Message digest**  $\mathcal{H} = h(\mathcal{M})$ , fixed length value

**Attacks** to defeat cryptography

**ciphertext only** is known, and one tries to find the corresponding plaintext (and the key)

**known plaintext** and corresponding ciphertext is known: one tries to find the key

**chosen plaintext** attack: attacker can feed plaintexts of one's choice to the system and learn corresponding ciphertexts

## Kerckhoffs' six design principles

1. The system must be practically, if not mathematically, indecipherable;
2. It must not be required to be secret, and it must be able to fall into the hands of the enemy without inconvenience;
3. Its key must be communicable and retainable without the help of written notes, and changeable or modifiable at the will of the correspondents;
4. It must be applicable to telegraphic correspondence;
5. It must be portable, and its usage and function must not require the concurrence of several people;
6. Finally, it is necessary, given the circumstances that command its application, that the system be easy to use, requiring neither mental strain nor the knowledge of a long series of rules to observe.

The more secrets the system has, the more brittle it is. A key is the easiest component to change. This principle is also known as Shannon's Maxim [3].

## Design principles

- Confusion
  - complex relationship between  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$
  - e.g. substitution
- Diffusion
  - no statistical relationship between  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$
  - one-bit change in  $\mathcal{M}$  results change in every bit in  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $P = \frac{1}{2}$
  - avalanche effect
  - e.g. transposition

## Ciphers

- Substitution cipher
  - plaintext  $\mathcal{M}$  enciphers always to  $\mathcal{C}$  with key  $\mathcal{K}$
  - Caesar cipher:  $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{M} + \mathcal{K}) \bmod 26$ ,  $\mathcal{K} = 3$
  - modern block ciphers (block size 64 bits(8 bytes:  $1.8 * 10^{19}$  different blocks) or more)
- One-time pad
  - unbreakable cipher (Vernam cipher)
  - if  $\mathcal{K}$  used *only once*
  - $\mathcal{K}$  as long as  $\mathcal{M}$

- stream ciphers emulate
- Message digests
  - take arbitrary long  $\mathcal{M}$  producing fixed-length digest  $\mathcal{D}$

## Block ciphers

- Few basic types
  - SP-networks (substitution-permutation networks)
  - Feistel ciphers
    - \* data halved, halves mixed with round function
- Operation modes
  - electronic code book** (ECB) used as substitution cipher
    - same  $\mathcal{M}$  encrypts to the same  $\mathcal{C}$  with same  $\mathcal{K}$
    - vulnerable to cut-and-splice
  - cipher block chaining** (CBC) uses previous ciphertext  $\mathcal{C}_{i-1} \oplus \mathcal{M}_i$ 
    - initialisation vector  $\mathcal{IV}^1$ 
      - $\Rightarrow$  randomises first block  $\mathcal{IV} \oplus \mathcal{M}_1$
    - still possible to defeat integrity
  - output feedback** (OFB) used as stream cipher  $\mathcal{K}_1 = \{\mathcal{IV}\}_k, \mathcal{K}_i = \{\mathcal{K}_{i-1}\}_k$
  - counter encryption**  $\mathcal{K}_i = \{\mathcal{IV} + i\}_k$ 
    - possible to parallelise for high-speed processing
  - cipher feedback**  $\mathcal{C}$  is encrypted with  $\mathcal{K}$  and XOR with plaintext
    - recovers from transmission errors
  - message authentication code** (MAC) to verify integrity
    - CBC mode, all but latest block discarded: keyed hash function

## Data Encryption Standard (DES)

- Feistel cipher
- Developed by IBM in 1970s, modified by NSA, federal standard (FIPS-46) 1976
- Key length 56 bits
  - $\Rightarrow$  too short nowadays
    - 1998 EFF “Deep Crack” (cost USD250,000) broke DES challenge in 56 hours with brute force
- Four weak and 16 semi-weak keys
- Still usable as Triple DES (3DES)
  - $\mathcal{C} = \text{DES}_{\mathcal{K}_3}(\text{DES}_{\mathcal{K}_2}^{-1}(\text{DES}_{\mathcal{K}_1}(\mathcal{M})))$
  - efficient key length 112 bits, while some advertise 168 bit key The second step could be also DES encryption, but on some hardware-based systems decrypting gives a better performance.

---

<sup>1</sup>Can be embedded into message

## Advanced Encryption Standard (AES)

- SP-network
- Subset of Rijndael (fixed block length 128 bits)
- Efficient also on small systems (smartcards etc.)
  - AES-128 about as fast as DES<sup>2</sup>  
⇒ 3 times faster than Triple DES
- Key length 128, 196 or 256, the shortest not for Top Secret in US
- FIPS-197

## Stream ciphers

- Cryptographic secure pseudo-random number generator
- XOR by bit or by byte (synchronous stream cipher)
- Popular in communications
  - byte-sized: no need to pad blocks
  - simple implementation on hardware: for example A5/1 needs only three shift registers (19, 22, and 23 bits) and some XOR ports
- Vulnerable to bit-fiddling: if one knows that an interesting bit at position  $N$  should be inverted, one can just change it from the bit stream. On the other hand this provides some protection from transmission errors: with block ciphers one will end with a large block of invalid data.
- RC4 used in SSL, WEP
- A5/1 and A5/2 in GSM
- Both have security problems, A5/2 very weak

## Asymmetric ciphers

- Symmetric ciphers provides secrecy only if one can communicate the key to other party secretly  
⇒ key management becomes problem
- Use a problem that is
  - easy to construct
  - hard solve without
  - specific knowledge (= private key)
- NP-complete problems are good candidates. However, not every NP-complete problem is suitable for asymmetric cipher. For example, knapsack problems were thought to be good algorithms, but they have been broken.
- Can be used to provide a digital signature without third party

---

<sup>2</sup>On modern 32-bit computer.

# RSA

3

- Factoring large numbers is hard
- Public key:
  - $n = pq$ ,  $p$  and  $q$  large primes
  - $e$  relatively prime for  $(p - 1)(q - 1)$
- Private key:
  - $d = e^{-1} \bmod ((p - 1)(q - 1))$
- Encrypting:  $c = m^e \bmod n$
- Decrypting:  $m = c^d \bmod n$

# ElGamal

- Discrete logarithm in a finite field
- $y = g^x \bmod p$ , prime  $p$ , random numbers  $g < p$ ,  $x < p$
- Public key:  $y$ ,  $g$ , and  $p$
- Private key:  $x$
- Signature: random  $k$  (relatively prime for  $p - 1$ , must be kept secret)
  - $a = g^k \bmod p$ , solve  $b$  from  $M = (xa + kb) \bmod (p - 1)$
  - verify:  $y^a a^b \bmod p = g^M \bmod p$
- Encrypting:  $a = g^k \bmod p$ ,  $b = y^k M \bmod p$
- Decrypting:  $M = b/a^x \bmod p$

# Message digest functions

- Calculating a signature for a long document
  - time-consuming
  - as large (or larger) than the original document
- Verifying document integrity
  - signed digest
  - digest stored or communicated securely. For example, there can be a list of hashes of all system files on read-only media. If any of those is modified, it may be detected by comparing hashes.
- Cryptographic checksum function
  1.  $\mathcal{H} = h(\mathcal{M})$  easy to compute
  2. infeasible to find  $\mathcal{M}$  for given  $\mathcal{H}$
  3. infeasible to find  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'$  such that  $h(\mathcal{M}) = h(\mathcal{M}')$
  4. an one-bit change in  $\mathcal{M}$  should result every bit in  $\mathcal{H}$  to change with  $P = \frac{1}{2}$
- Birthday attack:  $2^{\frac{n}{2}}$

---

<sup>3</sup>Ron Rivest, Adi Shamir and Len Adleman

## Secure hash algorithms in use

**MD5** designed in 1991

- 128-bit
- some weakness found, maybe insecure for demanding applications

**SHA-1** federal standard 180-2

- 160-bit
- original SHA (1993, SHA-0) vulnerable to  $2^{39}$
- SHA-1 vulnerable to a collision at  $2^{63}$
- longer versions (SHA-2) to 512 bits; not analysed in depth

**RIPEND-160** European algorithm

- 160-bit, also longer ones

## HMAC: keyed hash

- Used for authentication with shared secret[2]
- $h(\mathcal{K} \oplus opad || h(\mathcal{K} \oplus ipad || \mathcal{M}))$ 
  - *ipad* and *opad* select different bits<sup>4</sup> from  $\mathcal{K}$
- Protects  $\mathcal{K}$  from eavesdropping

## What cipher to choice

- How to distribute keys
- What trust model one has
- Any performance constrains
- Using public algorithms gives comfort, as if there is a weakness, it will be publicly known with good probability.
- Beware snake oil: unbreakable, certified, technobabble, secret, military grade, ... [1]

## Failures on ciphers

- Even if you take a good algorithm, wrong use may result bad security
- A bad algorithm is always bad security
- *Do not modify* a cryptographic algorithm: adding rounds or increasing the key length may result in a weaker algorithm.
- Check that you use cryptographic *as planned*
  - stream ciphers: use different  $\mathcal{IV}$  each time
  - MS Office uses same  $\mathcal{IV}$  for all saves of same document

---

<sup>4</sup>*ipad*=0x36... , *opad*=0x5c...

## WEP: Wired Equivalent Privacy

- Use of encryption optional
  - ⇒ system administration failures
- No key management: use of shared key
- CRC-32 used for integrity check
  - linear algorithm: possible to fix changes with stream cipher bit-fiddling
- $\mathcal{IV}$  only 24 bit
  - wraps around in a day (or faster)
  - shared key ⇒ same  $\mathcal{IV}$  by multiple hosts
- Attacks
  - statistical analysis for packets with the same  $\mathcal{IV}$
  - injecting known traffic e.g. from the Internet enables decrypting packets with the same  $\mathcal{IV}$
  - if the RC4 stream for one packet is known, it is possible to send encrypted packets with the same  $\mathcal{IV}$
  - bit-fiddling attacks to change the content or the destination of packets
  - bad software key generators: key space may be  $2^{21}$ , not  $2^{64}$
  - dictionary attack on keys, like for passwords. You can make attack passively just by capturing a number of packets and trying different passphrases to find out the key.

## Key lengths: how long is safe

- How long time the information must stay secret
- Longer key results in more computational load: limits available communication speed or increases power consumption on mobile devices.
- Symmetric ciphers
  - risk: a fundamental weakness will be found or advances in computing
  - 64 bit cipher broken: RSA RC5 challenge
  - 128 bits should be OK
  - 196–256 bit AES key for Top Secret
- Asymmetric ciphers
  - risk: advances in mathematics or in computing
  - 576-bit key factored
  - RSA key lengths and same-level symmetric keys

prime bits	symmetric
1024	80
2048	112
3072	128
15360	256
  - elliptic curves: double to symmetric keys
- Message digests
  - SHA-1 currently used, retired by 2010
  - SHA-2 algorithms unsure

## Some performance figures for 1 KiB blocks

Algorithm	relative speed
DES CBC	1000
RC4	3638
AES 128	921
AES 196	796
AES 256	705
RSA 1024 sign	7 / 1000
RSA 1024 verify	132 / 1000
RSA 4096 sign	0.2 / 1000
RSA 4096 verify	12 / 1000
MD5	4992
SHA-1	3360

## Summary

- Bits do not matter (much)
- Important
  - to select the right algorithm for the right use
  - to use algorithm in the right way
- Hardware used may impose some limitations
- For many uses, the performance is not a real problem

## References

- [1] Matt Curtin. Snake oil warning signs: Encryption software to avoid. Web page, referred 2006-03-18, April 1998. URL:<http://www.interhack.net/people/cmcurtin/snake-oil-faq.html>.
- [2] H. Krawczyk, M. Bellare, and R. Canetti. HMAC: Keyed-Hashing for Message Authentication. Request for Comments RFC 2104, Internet Engineering Task Force, February 1997. (Informational). URL:<http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2104.txt>.
- [3] C.E. Shannon. Communication theory of secrecy systems. *Bell Sys. Tech. Journal*, 28:656–715, 1949.