

# DISCRETE DISTRIBUTIONS

## Generating function (z-transform)

### Definition

Let  $X$  be a discrete r.v., which takes non-negative integer values,  $X \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ .

Denote the point probabilities by  $p_i$

$$p_i = P\{X = i\}$$

The generating function of  $X$  denoted by  $\mathcal{G}(z)$  (or  $\mathcal{G}_X(z)$ ; also  $X(z)$  or  $\hat{X}(z)$ ) is defined by

$$\boxed{\mathcal{G}(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p_i z^i = E[z^X]}$$

Rationale:

- A handy way to record all the values  $\{p_0, p_1, \dots\}$ ;  $z$  is a ‘bookkeeping variable’
- Often  $\mathcal{G}(z)$  can be explicitly calculated (a simple analytical expression)
- When  $\mathcal{G}(z)$  is given, one can conversely deduce the values  $\{p_0, p_1, \dots\}$
- Some operations on distributions correspond to much simpler operations on the generating functions
- Often simplifies the solution of recursive equations

## Inverse transformation

The problem is to infer the probabilities  $p_i$ , when  $\mathcal{G}(z)$  is given.

### Three methods

1. Develop  $\mathcal{G}(z)$  in a power series, from which the  $p_i$  can be identified as the coefficients of the  $z^i$ . The coefficients can also be calculated by derivation

$$p_i = \frac{1}{i!} \left. \frac{d^i \mathcal{G}(z)}{dz^i} \right|_{z=0} = \frac{1}{i!} \mathcal{G}^{(i)}(0)$$

2. By inspection: decompose  $\mathcal{G}(z)$  in parts the inverse transforms of which are known; e.g. the partial fractions
3. By a (path) integral on the complex plane

$$p_i = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{\mathcal{G}(z)}{z^{i+1}} dz \quad \text{path encircling the origin (must be chosen so that the poles of } \mathcal{G}(z) \text{ are outside the path)}$$

Example 1

$$\mathcal{G}(z) = \frac{1}{1 - z^2} = 1 + z^2 + z^4 + \dots$$

$$\Rightarrow p_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } i \text{ even} \\ 0 & \text{for } i \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

Example 2

$$\mathcal{G}(z) = \frac{2}{(1 - z)(2 - z)} = \frac{2}{1 - z} - \frac{2}{2 - z} = \frac{2}{1 - z} - \frac{1}{1 - z/2}$$

Since  $\frac{A}{1 - az}$  corresponds to sequence  $A \cdot a^i$  we deduce

$$p_i = 2 \cdot (1)^i - 1 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^i = 2 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^i$$

## Calculating the moments of the distribution with the aid of $\mathcal{G}(z)$

Since the  $p_i$  represent a probability distribution their sum equals 1 and

$$\mathcal{G}(1) = \mathcal{G}^{(0)}(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i \cdot 1^i = 1$$

By derivation one sees

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}^{(1)}(z) &= \frac{d}{dz} \mathbb{E}[z^X] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X z^{X-1}]\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{G}^{(1)}(1) = \mathbb{E}[X]$$

By continuing in the same way one gets

$$\mathcal{G}^{(i)}(1) = \mathbb{E}[X(X-1)\cdots(X-i+1)] = F_i$$

where  $F_i$  is the  $i^{\text{th}}$  factorial moment.

The relation between factorial moments and ordinary moments (with respect to the origin)

The factorial moments  $F_i = E[X(X-1)\cdots(X-i+1)]$  and ordinary moments (with respect to the origin)  $M_i = E[X^i]$  are related by the linear equations:

$$\boxed{\begin{cases} F_1 = M_1 \\ F_2 = M_2 - M_1 \\ F_3 = M_3 - 3M_2 + 2M_1 \\ \vdots \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} M_1 = F_1 \\ M_2 = F_2 + F_1 \\ M_3 = F_3 + 3F_2 + F_1 \\ \vdots \end{cases}}$$

For instance,

$$F_2 = \mathcal{G}^{(2)}(1) = E[X(X-1)] = E[X^2] - E[X]$$

$$\Rightarrow M_2 = E[X^2] = F_2 + F_1 = \mathcal{G}^{(2)}(1) + \mathcal{G}^{(1)}(1)$$

$$\Rightarrow V[X] = M_2 - M_1^2 = \mathcal{G}^{(2)}(1) + \mathcal{G}^{(1)}(1) - (\mathcal{G}^{(1)}(1))^2 = \mathcal{G}^{(2)}(1) + \mathcal{G}^{(1)}(1)(1 - \mathcal{G}^{(1)}(1))$$

Direct calculation of the moments

The moments can also be derived from the generating function directly, without recourse to the factorial moments, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dz}\mathcal{G}(z)\Big|_{z=1} &= \mathbb{E}[X z^{X-1}]_{z=1} = \mathbb{E}[X] \\ \frac{d}{dz}z \frac{d}{dz}\mathcal{G}(z)\Big|_{z=1} &= \mathbb{E}[X^2 z^{X-1}]_{z=1} = \mathbb{E}[X^2]\end{aligned}$$

Generally,

$$\mathbb{E}[X^i] = \frac{d}{dz}\left(z \frac{d}{dz}\right)^{i-1}\mathcal{G}(z)\Big|_{z=1} = \left(z \frac{d}{dz}\right)^i\mathcal{G}(z)\Big|_{z=1}$$

## Generating function of the sum of independent random variables

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be independent random variables. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{X+Y}(z) &= \mathbb{E}[z^{X+Y}] = \mathbb{E}[z^X z^Y] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[z^X] \mathbb{E}[z^Y] && \text{independence} \\ &= \mathcal{G}_X(z) \mathcal{G}_Y(z) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{X+Y}(z) = \mathcal{G}_X(z) \mathcal{G}_Y(z)$$

In terms of the original discrete distributions

$$\begin{cases} p_i = \mathbb{P}\{X = i\} \\ q_j = \mathbb{P}\{Y = j\} \end{cases}$$

the distribution of the sum is obtained by convolution  $p \otimes q$

$$\mathbb{P}\{X + Y = k\} = (p \otimes q)_k = \sum_{i=0}^k p_i q_{k-i}$$

Thus, the generating function of a distribution obtained by convolving two distributions is the product of the generating functions of the respective original distributions.

## Compound distribution and its generating function

Let  $Y$  be the sum of independent, identically distributed (*i.i.d.*) random variables  $X_i$ ,

$$Y = X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_N$$

where  $N$  is a non-negative integer-valued random variable.

Denote

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{G}_X(z) & \text{the common generating function of the } X_i \\ \mathcal{G}_N(z) & \text{the generating function of } N \end{cases}$$

We wish to calculate  $\mathcal{G}_Y(z)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_Y(z) &= \mathbb{E}[z^Y] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[z^Y \mid N]] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[z^{X_1 + \cdots + X_N} \mid N]] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[z^{X_1} \cdots z^{X_N} \mid N]] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{G}_X(z)^N] \\ &= \mathcal{G}_N(\mathcal{G}_X(z)) \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{G}_Y(z) = \mathcal{G}_N(\mathcal{G}_X(z))$
--



## Bernoulli distribution $X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)$

A simple experiment with two possible outcomes: ‘success’ and ‘failure’.

We define the random variable  $X$  as follows

$$X = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when the experiment is successful; probability } p \\ 0 & \text{when the experiment fails; probability } q = 1 - p \end{cases}$$

Example 1.  $X$  describes the bit stream from a traffic source, which is either on or off.


The generating function

$$\mathcal{G}(z) = p_0 z^0 + p_1 z^1 = q + pz$$

$$E[X] = \mathcal{G}^{(1)}(1) = p$$

$$V[X] = \mathcal{G}^{(2)}(1) + \mathcal{G}^{(1)}(1)(1 - \mathcal{G}^{(1)}(1)) = p(1 - p) = pq$$

Example 2. The cell stream arriving at an input port of an ATM switch: in a time slot (cell slot) there is a cell with probability  $p$  or the slot is empty with probability  $q$ .



## Binomial distribution $X \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$

$X$  is the number of successes in a sequence of  $n$  independent Bernoulli trials.

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \quad \text{where } Y_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p) \text{ and the } Y_i \text{ are independent } (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

The generating function is obtained directly from the generating function  $q + pz$  of a Bernoulli variable

$$\mathcal{G}(z) = (q + pz)^n = \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} p^i (1-p)^{n-i} z^i$$

By identifying the coefficient of  $z^i$  we have

$$p_i = \text{P}\{X = i\} = \binom{n}{i} p^i (1-p)^{n-i}$$

$$\begin{cases} \text{E}[X] = n\text{E}[Y_i] = np \\ \text{V}[X] = n\text{V}[Y_i] = np(1-p) \end{cases}$$

A limiting form when  $\lambda = \text{E}[X] = np$  is fixed and  $n \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$\mathcal{G}(z) = (1 - (1-z)p)^n = (1 - (1-z)\lambda/n)^n \rightarrow e^{(z-1)\lambda}$$

which is the generating function of a Poisson random variable.

*The sum of binomially distributed random variables*

Let the  $X_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ) be binomially distributed with the same parameter  $p$  (but with different  $n_i$ ). Then the distribution of their sum is distributed as

$$X_1 + \dots + X_k \sim \text{Bin}(n_1 + \dots + n_k, p)$$

because the sum represents the number of successes in a sequence of  $n_1 + \dots + n_k$  identical Bernoulli trials.

## Multinomial distribution

Consider a sequence of  $n$  identical trials but now each trial has  $k$  ( $k \geq 2$ ) different outcomes. Let the probabilities of the outcomes in a single experiment be  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k$  ( $\sum_{i=1}^k p_i = 1$ ).

Denote the number of occurrences of outcome  $i$  in the sequence by  $N_i$ . The problem is to calculate the probability  $p(n_1, \dots, n_k) = P\{N_1 = n_1, \dots, N_k = n_k\}$  of the joint event  $\{N_1 = n_1, \dots, N_k = n_k\}$ .

Define the generating function of the joint distribution of several random variables  $N_1, \dots, N_k$  by

$$\mathcal{G}(z_1, \dots, z_k) = E[z_1^{N_1} \cdots z_k^{N_k}] = \sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{n_k=0}^{\infty} p(n_1, \dots, n_k) z_1^{n_1} \cdots z_k^{n_k}$$

After one trial one of the  $N_i$  is 1 and the others are 0. Thus the generating function corresponding one trial is  $(p_1 z_1 + \cdots + p_k z_k)$ .

The generating function of  $n$  independent trials is the product of the generating functions of a single trial, i.e.  $(p_1 z_1 + \cdots + p_k z_k)^n$ .

From the coefficients of different powers of the  $z_i$  variables one identifies

$p(n_1, \dots, n_k) = \frac{n!}{n_1! \cdots n_k!} p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_k^{n_k}$	when $n_1 + \dots + n_k = n$ , 0 otherwise
---	---

## Geometric distribution $X \sim \text{Geom}(p)$

$X$  represents the number of trials in a sequence of independent Bernoulli trials (with the probability of success  $p$ ) needed until the first success occurs

$$p_i = P\{X = i\} = (1 - p)^{i-1}p$$

$i = 1, 2, \dots$

Note that sometimes the distribution of  $X - 1$  is defined to be the geometric distribution (starts from 0)

Generating function

$$\mathcal{G}(z) = p \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - p)^{i-1} z^i = \frac{pz}{1 - (1 - p)z}$$

This can be used to calculate the expectation and the variance:

$$E[X] = \mathcal{G}'(1) = \frac{p(1 - (1 - p)z) + p(1 - p)z}{(1 - (1 - p)z)^2} \Big|_{z=1} = \frac{1}{p}$$

$$E[X^2] = \mathcal{G}'(1) + \mathcal{G}''(1) = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{2(1 - p)}{p^2}$$

$$V[X] = E[X^2] - E[X]^2 = \frac{1 - p}{p^2}$$

## Geometric distribution (continued)

The probability that for the first success one needs more than  $n$  trials

$$P\{X > n\} = \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} p_i = (1-p)^n$$

### Memoryless property of geometric distribution

$$\begin{aligned} P\{X > i+j | X > i\} &= \frac{P\{X > i+j \cap X > i\}}{P\{X > i\}} = \frac{P\{X > i+j\}}{P\{X > i\}} \\ &= \frac{(1-p)^{i+j}}{(1-p)^i} = P\{X > j\} \end{aligned}$$

If there have been  $i$  unsuccessful trials then the probability that for the first success one needs still more than  $j$  new trials is the same as the probability that in a completely new sequence of trials one needs more than  $j$  trials for the first success.

This is as it should be, since the past trials do not have any effect on the future trials, all of which are independent.

## Negative binomial distribution $X \sim \text{NBin}(n, p)$

$X$  is the number of trials needed in a sequence of Bernoulli trials needed for  $n$  successes.

If  $X = i$ , then among the first  $(i - 1)$  trials there must have been  $n - 1$  successes and the trial  $i$  must be a success. Thus,

$$p_i = P\{X = i\} = \binom{i-1}{n-1} p^{n-1} (1-p)^{i-n} \cdot p = \binom{i-1}{n-1} p^n (1-p)^{i-n} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{if } i \geq n \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{array}$$

The number of trials for the first success  $\sim \text{Geom}(p)$ . Similarly, the number of trials needed from that point on for the next success etc. Thus,

$$X = X_1 + \cdots + X_n \quad \text{where } X_i \sim \text{Geom}(p) \quad (i.i.d.)$$

Now, the generating function of the distribution is

$$\mathcal{G}(z) = \left( \frac{pz}{1 - (1-p)z} \right)^n \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{The point probabilities given above} \\ \text{can also be deduced from this g.f.} \end{array}$$

The expectation and the variance are  $n$  times those of the geometric distribution

$$E[X] = \frac{n}{p}$$

$$V[X] = n \frac{1-p}{p^2}$$

## Poisson distribution $X \sim \text{Poisson}(a)$

$X$  is a non-negative integer-valued random variable with the point probabilities

$$p_i = \text{P}\{X = i\} = \frac{a^i}{i!} e^{-a} \quad i = 0, 1, \dots$$

The generating function

$$\mathcal{G}(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p_i z^i = e^{-a} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(za)^i}{i!} = e^{-a} e^{za}$$

$$\mathcal{G}(z) = e^{(z-1)a}$$

As we saw before, this generating function is obtained as a limiting form of the generating function of a  $\text{Bin}(n, p)$  random variable, when the average number of successes is kept fixed,  $np = a$ , and  $n$  tends to infinity.

Correspondingly,  $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda t)$  represents the number of occurrences of events (e.g. arrivals) in an interval of length  $t$  from a Poisson process with intensity  $\lambda$ :

- the probability of an event ('success') in a small interval  $dt$  is  $\lambda dt$
- the probability of two simultaneous events is  $\mathcal{O}(\lambda dt)$
- the number of events in disjoint intervals are independent



## Poisson distribution (continued)

Poisson distribution is obeyed by e.g.

- The number of arriving calls in a given interval
- The number of calls in progress in a large (non-blocking) trunk group

Expectation and variance

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{E}[X] = \mathcal{G}'(1) = \left. \frac{d}{dz} e^{(z-1)a} \right|_{z=1} = a \\ \mathbb{E}[X^2] = \mathcal{G}''(1) + \mathcal{G}'(1) = a^2 + a \end{cases} \Rightarrow \mathbb{V}[X] = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - \mathbb{E}[X]^2 = a^2 + a - a^2 = a$$

$$\boxed{\mathbb{E}[X] = a}$$

$$\boxed{\mathbb{V}[X] = a}$$

### Properties of Poisson distribution

1. The sum of Poisson random variables is Poisson distributed.

$$X = X_1 + X_2, \quad \text{where } X_1 \sim \text{Poisson}(a_1), \quad X_2 \sim \text{Poisson}(a_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow X \sim \text{Poisson}(a_1 + a_2)$$

Proof:

$$\mathcal{G}_{X_1}(z) = e^{(z-1)a_1}, \quad \mathcal{G}_{X_2}(z) = e^{(z-1)a_2}$$

$$\mathcal{G}_X(z) = \mathcal{G}_{X_1}(z)\mathcal{G}_{X_2}(z) = e^{(z-1)a_1}e^{(z-1)a_2} = e^{(z-1)(a_1+a_2)}$$

2. If the number,  $N$ , of elements in a set obeys Poisson distribution,  $N \sim \text{Poisson}(a)$ , and one makes a random selection with probability  $p$  (each element is independently selected with this probability), then the size of the selected set  $K \sim \text{Poisson}(pa)$ .

Proof:  $K$  obeys the compound distribution

$$K = X_1 + \cdots + X_N, \quad \text{where } N \sim \text{Poisson}(a) \text{ and } X_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)$$


$$\mathcal{G}_X(z) = (1-p) + pz, \quad \mathcal{G}_N(z) = e^{(z-1)a}$$

$$\mathcal{G}_K(z) = \mathcal{G}_N(\mathcal{G}_X(z)) = e^{(\mathcal{G}_X(z)-1)a} = e^{[(1-p)+pz-1]a} = e^{(z-1)pa}$$

Properties of Poisson distribution (continued)

3. If the elements of a set with size  $N \sim \text{Poisson}(a)$  are randomly assigned to one of two groups 1 and 2 with probabilities  $p_1$  and  $p_2 = 1 - p_1$ , then the sizes of the sets 1 and 2,  $N_1$  and  $N_2$ , are independent and distributed as

$$N_1 \sim \text{Poisson}(p_1 a), \quad N_2 \sim \text{Poisson}(p_2 a)$$



Proof: By the law of total probability,

$$\begin{aligned} P\{N_1 = n_1, N_2 = n_2\} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \underbrace{P\{N_1 = n_1, N_2 = n_2 \mid N = n\}}_{\text{multinomial distribution}} \underbrace{P\{N = n\}}_{\text{Poisson distribution}} \\ &= \frac{n!}{n_1! n_2!} p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} \cdot \frac{a^n}{n!} e^{-a} \Big|_{n=n_1+n_2} = \frac{p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2}}{n_1! n_2!} \cdot a^{n_1+n_2} e^{-a \overbrace{(p_1 + p_2)}^1} \\ &= \frac{(p_1 a)^{n_1}}{n_1!} e^{-p_1 a} \cdot \frac{(p_2 a)^{n_2}}{n_2!} e^{-p_2 a} = P\{N_1 = n_1\} \cdot P\{N_2 = n_2\} \end{aligned}$$

The joint probability is of product form  $\Rightarrow N_1$  and  $N_2$  independent. The factors in the product are point probabilities of  $\text{Poisson}(p_1 a)$  and  $\text{Poisson}(p_2 a)$  distributions.

Note, the result can be generalized for any number of sets.

## Method of collective marks (Dantzig)


Thus far the variable  $z$  of the generating function has been considered just as a technical auxiliary variable ('book keeping variable').

In the so called method of collective marks one gives a probability interpretation for the variable  $z$ . This enables deriving some results very elegantly by simple reasoning.

Let  $N = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  be a non-negative integer-valued random variable and  $\mathcal{G}_N(z)$  its generating function:

$$\mathcal{G}_N(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n z^n, \quad p_n = P\{N = n\}$$

Interpretation: Think of  $N$  as representing the size of some set. Mark each of the elements in the set independently with probability  $1 - z$  and leave it unmarked with probability  $z$ . Then  $\mathcal{G}_N(z)$  is the probability that there is no mark in the whole set.




## Method of collective marks (continued)

Example: The generating function of a compound distribution

$$Y = X_1 + \dots + X_N, \quad \text{where}$$

$$\begin{cases} X_1 \sim X_2 \sim \dots \sim X_N \text{ with common g.f. } \mathcal{G}_X(z) \\ N \text{ is a random variable with g.f. } \mathcal{G}_N(z) \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_Y(z) &= \text{P}\{\text{none of the elements of } Y \text{ is marked}\} \\ &= \mathcal{G}_N(\underbrace{\mathcal{G}_X(z)}_{\text{prob. that a single subset is unmarked}}) \\ &\quad \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\text{prob. that none of the sub-sets is marked}} \end{aligned}$$





## Method of probability shift: approx. calculation of point probs.

Many distributions (with large mean) can reasonably be approximated by a normal distribution.

Example  $\text{Poisson}(a) \approx N(a, a)$ , when  $a \gg 1$

- The approximation is usually good near the mean, but far away in the tail of the distribution the relative error can be (and usually is) significant.



- The approximation can markedly be improved by the probability shift method.
- This provides a means to calculate a given point probability (in the tail) of a distribution whose generating function is known.

## Probability shift (continued)

The problem is to calculate for the random variable  $X$  the point probability

$$p_i = P\{X = i\}, \quad \text{when } i \gg E[X] (= m)$$



In the probability shift method, one considers the (shifted) random variable  $X'$  with the point probabilities

$$p'_i = \frac{p_i z^i}{\mathcal{G}(z)}$$

These form a normed distribution, because  $\mathcal{G}(z) = \sum_i p_i z^i$ .

The moments of the shifted distribution are

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} m'(z) = E[X'] = \frac{1}{\mathcal{G}(z)} z \frac{d}{dz} \mathcal{G}(z) \\ E[X'^2] = \frac{1}{\mathcal{G}(z)} \left( z \frac{d}{dz} \right)^2 \mathcal{G}(z) \\ \sigma'^2(z) = V[X'] = E[X'^2] - E[X']^2 \end{array} \right.$$



## Probability shift (continued)

In particular, choose the shift parameter  $z = z^*$  such that  $m'(z^*) = i$ , i.e. so that the mean of the shifted distribution is at the point of interest  $i$ . By applying the normal approximation to the shifted distribution, one obtains

$$p'_i \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma'^2}}$$

Conversely, by solving  $p_i$  from the previous relation one gets the desired approximation

$$p_i \approx \frac{\mathcal{G}(z^*)}{(z^*)^i \sqrt{2\pi\sigma'^2(z^*)}} \quad \text{where } z^* \text{ satisfies the equation } m'(z^*) = i$$

In order to evaluate this expression one only needs to know the generating function of  $X$ .

The method is very useful when  $X$  is the sum of several independent random variables with different distributions, all of which (along with the corresponding generating function) are known.

The distribution of  $X$  is then complex (manyfold convolution), but as its generating function is known (the product of the respective generating functions) the above method is applicable.



## Probability shift (continued)

Example (nonsensical as no approximation is really needed)

Poisson distribution

$$p_i = \frac{a^i}{i!} e^{-a}, \quad \mathcal{G}(z) = e^{(z-1)a}$$

$$p'_i = \frac{p_i z^i}{\mathcal{G}(z)} = \frac{(az)^i}{i!} e^{-az} \quad \text{Poisson}(za) \text{ distribution, so we have immediately the moments}$$

$$\Rightarrow m'(z) = az, \quad \sigma'^2(z) = az$$

The solution of the equation  $m'(z^*) = i$  is  $z^* = \frac{i}{a}$

$$p_i \approx \frac{e^{(i/a-1)a}}{(i/a)^i \sqrt{2\pi i}} = \frac{a^i}{\sqrt{2\pi i} e^{-ii}} e^{-a}$$

We find that the approximation gives almost exactly the correct Poisson probability but in the denominator the factorial  $i!$  has been replaced by the well known Stirling approximation  $i! \approx \sqrt{2\pi i} e^{-ii}$ .