Applications of Game Theory in Ad Hoc Networks

Juha Leino Networking Laboratory

Supervisor: Professor Jorma Virtamo Instructor: Ph.D. Samuli Aalto

1

Juha Leino

Contents

- Ad hoc networks
- Game theory
- Energy consumption game
- Simulation
- Summary

Aim of the Thesis

- Survey prior game theoretic research
 - Focus on ad hoc networks
 - Generally in telecommunications
- Model the interaction between a node and the rest of the network as a game

Ad Hoc Networks

- Wireless networks without fixed infrastructure
- Terminals act as routers forming multihop connections
- Terminals are often battery powered
- Cooperation is crucial for the operation
 - Selfish and malicious nodes
 - Protocols that enforce cooperation are needed
 - Reputation based
 - Pricing based

Game Theory

- Analyzes multiperson decision making situations
- Each decision maker tries to maximize his own utility (selfishness)
- Examines equilibrium points
- Own benefit vs. common good

Applications of Game Theory in Telecommunications

- Ad hoc networks
 - So far only a few articles on the subject
 - Game theoretic formulations, but little interesting results
- Internet
 - First references to game theory in the 1980s
 - Routing, flow control, traffic pricing
 - In fixed networks the capacities of the links are interdependent
 Not applicable to ad hoc networks

Energy Consumption Game

- How much forwarding effort the network can require from a node?
- Transmission power $p \sim r^{\alpha}$
- Direct connection p_d
- Routed connection p_r
- Forwarding effort e



Game with an Honest Node

- Game procedure
 - 1. The network offers to forward the node's traffic in exchange for forwarding effort e
 - 2. The node either accepts or rejects the offer
- The node cooperates if $e \le p_d p_r$

Game with a Cheating Node

• Game procedure

- 1. The network offers to forward the node's traffic
- 2. The node either cooperates or freerides
- Transmission time exponentially distributed with mean $1/\mu$
- Freeriding is detected in an exponentially distributed time with mean 1/d
- If caught cheating, the node needs to finish the transmission directly to the receiver
- The node cooperates if $e \le (p_d p_r) / (1 + \mu/d)$

Simulation

• Assumptions

- Nodes in a unit square
- Uniform traffic pattern
- Nodes either transmit directly or cooperate
- Simulation procedure
 - 1. Generate a random network
 - 2. Determine the needed forwarding effort
 - 3. Identify nodes that lose energy when joining



The probabilities that independent operation saves energy





Juha Leino

Simulation Results

- Considering energy consumption, it is not always beneficial to join the network
- Minimum energy routing is better than minimum hop routing
- The risk of losing energy is only a problem in networks with few nodes
- The higher the parameter α in $p \sim r^{\alpha},$ the more beneficial it is to join

Summary

- This study presented
 - Survey of prior game theoretic research
 - Energy consumption games
 - Simulations of energy consumption

• Questions?