Master's thesis seminar

2.12.2003

Radio channel modelling in different outdoor environments for WLAN systems

Supervisor: Prof. Sven-Gustav Häggman Instructor: Michael Hall Lic. Sc. (Tech.)





HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY Communications Laboratory

Agenda

- thesis objectives
- theoretical background
 - WLAN systems
 - radio wave propagation
- existing channel models
- channel modelling methods
- channel modelling of WLAN systems
 - case: BVS's Yellowjacket



• conclusions and future work



Thesis objectives

- use of WLAN outdoors outside urban city
 - WLAN characteristics
 - impact of "new" operating environments
- the process of determining wideband radio channel models in different outdoor environments for WLAN systems
- expected results
 - means to conduct the actual channel modelling
- means of the study
 - literature research, empirical measurements





Theoretical background - WLAN systems

IEEE 802.11 and IEEE 802.11b

- only 2 bottom layers of OSI model:
 - medium access control (MAC) layer
 - physical layer (PHY)
- spread spectrum systems (FHSS, DSSS)
 - transfer rates: 1, 2, 5.5 or 11 Mbit/s
 - baseband symbol rates: 1 Ms/s of 1.375 Ms/s
- operates at 2.4 GHz ISM band
 - licence-free, max EIRP 20 dBm
- RF signal bandwidth 22 MHz



Theoretical background - propagation

- Maxwell's equations → too complicated
- four basic phenomena
 - free space loss
 - reflectation and penetration
 - diffraction
 - scattering





everything else is based on these

HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY Communications Laboratory



Existing channel models

Distinction to large and small-scale

Large-scale models

- broadminded models for distance path loss and shadowing losses
 - Hata, Walfisch-Ikegami for mobile systems
 - ITU-R P.833, QinetiQ model for attenuation in vegetation



HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Communications Laboratory

Existing channel models

Small-scale models

detailed wideband models
 for *multipath propagation*



- based on systems functions of LTV-channel
 - time-variant impulse response etc. (WSSUS)
- empirical and deterministic models
- very environment specific
- tapped delay-line models
 - GSM and UTMS model





HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY Communications Laboratory

Existing channel models

Large-scale models:

- focused on urban city or indoor environments below 2 GHz
- impact of vegetation and terrain changes largerly neglected, need to use additional model
 Small-scale models:
 - lot's of measurements at 2 GHz for UMTS
 - measurements at 2.4 GHz only indoors or urban environments



→accurate suitable model not found

HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY Communications Laboratory



Computer aided methods (deterministic)

- ray tracing
 - each ray and its propagation path is predicted
- methos based of Maxwell's equations
 - Finite difference time-domain (FDTD)
 - Finite element method (FEM)
- need of measurements for result verification
- increase of details multiplies needed calculation capacity
- unablity to predict propagation in vegetation



HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY Communications Laboratory

Empirical channel modelling

- based on measurements
- no restrictions to environments
- need of statistical post-prosessing
- narrowband measurements
 - distance path loss, shadowing
- wideband multipath propagation measurement campaign







TΧ

Narrowband measurements

- RX moved along a circle, or a block
- several measurements per location
- averaging to local mean
 - filters multipath components with highest peaks and deepest notches

Results

- distance path loss
- various shadowing environments

Multipath propagation measurement campaign

- impulse response measurement
 - sliding correlator / DSP techniques
 - use of highly advanced channel sounder equipment
- HUT channel sounder
 - chip sequences: 31-2047 chip
 - chip rates: 2.5 MHz 60 MHz
 - measured delay: 516ns 818µs
 - sample resolution: 16.7ns 400ns





HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Communications Laboratory



Channel modelling of WLAN systems

Impact of outdoor environment

- fewer multipath components, larger delay spreads
- example: a reflection from a surface 1 km away
 - additional propagation of 2 km \Leftrightarrow 3.33 μs
 - attenuation due free space loss ~ 106 dB
 - RX level ~ -80 dBm; well within RX dynamic range

WLAN characteristics

- symbol rate: 1 Ms/s pf 1.375 Ms/s
- symbol duration: 1 μ s of 727 ns
 - after each symbol duration, next symbol arrive
- → RX in trouble after symbol duration without advanced countermeasures: major restrictiong to WLAN systems



 \rightarrow unable to use WLAN signal in channel sounding

HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY Communications Laboratory

Case: BVS's Yellowjacket



Hand-size measurement tool for optimising and analysing WLAN – built on Compag IPAQ PocketPC

- ability to measure:
 - all 14 WLAN channels
 - RSSI (dBm)
 - packer error rate (PER)
 - MAC address
 - SSID
 - multipath correlated power
 - also spectrum analyser function



HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Communications Laboratory

Case: BVS's Yellowjacket

test measurements to test the device:





- case 2: worst NLOS, RX under the concrete stairs
- case 3: NLOS, RX behind the corner



HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY Communications Laboratory

Case: BVS's Yellowjacket



measurements results

HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Communications Laboratory

Case: BVS's yellowjacket



Results seem the same

- peak at 9th sample
 - ➔ no information on first 8
 - ➔ more reduction on DS window
 - ➔ no absolute delays
 - → RX locks to first strong component

in fact, two consecutive IRs
of too narrow bandpass filter
→ taps correlated

Yellowjacket able to construct 2-tap model, unrealiable delay

Conclusions and future work

Lack of suitable existing channel model...

- Large-scale models lack environments outside urban city and neglects the effect of vegetation
- Small-scale propagation models lack models at 2.4 GHz outside urban city environment

...and unsuitability of computer-aided methods...

- ray tracing, FDTD and FEM unable to predict scattering caused by vegetation
- increase in details multiplies the need for calculation capacity



HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY Communications Laboratory

Conclusions and future work

...leads to need of heavy measurement campaign.

- channel sounder equipment needed
 - requirements for delay spread window and fast acquisition
- measurement both in summer and winter
 - effect of leaves to he measurements
- heavy post-processing

Other interesting research topics:

- WLAN behaviour in channel with large delay spread
 - PER vs. percentage of energy received after 1 μs (727ns)
- simulations of different WLAN receiver types





HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY Communications Laboratory



Thank you!



HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Aki Silvennoinen

Communications Laboratory