

Convergence of communication services

Lecture slides for S-38.191 5.4.2001 Mika Ilvesmäki





Mika Ilvesmäki, M.Sc. (Tech.)

Contents

- Services and contemporary networks
 - IP service
 - Voice over IP
 - Data over IP
- Convergence
 - Everything over IP
- Convergence is almost the same as QoS in the Internet







Services – user point of view

- PSTN
 - POTS, fax
- Broadcast services
 - radio, TV (maybe also interactive TV)
- Data services
 - email, file transfer: INTERNET





HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Mika Ilvesmäki, M.Sc. (Tech.)

Services – service provider point of view

- Suitable network for each customer service
 - Circuit switched network for PSTN
 - broadcast (radio) network for TV and radio
 - remember also cable-TV and satellite-TV
 - Networks for data services
 - PSTN-network, hybrid PSTN/xDSL-networks, leased lines, WLANs, etc.

Convergence means that there should be just one network for all services!





Convergence – definitions

- The idea is to have just one network that handles all communication traffic
 - TV, Radio, Telephone, Data
- At this moment the emphasis is on joining data and telephone services
 - Due to high IP penetration convergence is proposed to happen in the Internet





Mika Ilvesmäki, M.Sc. (Tech.)

Convergence notes

- Data seems to be running on every possible platform
- Radio and TV would seem to require a high-quality network with large bandwidth
- Voice transfer requires delay bounds and control on delay variation

We need a *reliable* network with the possibility for guaranteed *bandwidth* and strict *delay control*!



HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Technical service requirements

- Capacity or bandwidth (or transmission speed)
 - TV/Multimedia typically has high bandwidth requirements
- Delay bounds
 - Telephony needs short delays and small variations in delay
- Reliability
 - Data typically needs reliable connections





HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Mika Ilvesmäki, M.Sc. (Tech.)

More capacity

- Core networks
 - Updates are easy and manageable
 - Need to use the lates technology -> relatively expensive
 - ATM, WDM etc.
- User access
 - Uncontrolled updates, numerous technologies
 - xDSL, modems, ISDN, cable, Ethernet
 - Usually limited in bandwidth





Controlled delay

- · Control the use of resources (buffer space)
 - Admission control (user access)
 - Traffic shaping/policing (core network)
 - Directionality of the traffic flows (core network / routing)
- Introduces a new concept to the Internet
 - Controlled access with core taking part in traffic control





HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Reliable delivery

- Separate different traffic types
 - traffic differentiation
- Dimension network buffers and control the access to the resources
- Update the user access technologies to more reliable ones







Existing Internet infrastructure

- IP routers
 - no capability to provide for traffic or admission control yet
 - plain best effort service
- TCP and UDP protocols
 - for data service
- RTP to provide for end-to-end preservation of real-time properties
 - for IP telephony, video delivery





Mika Ilvesmäki, M.Sc. (Tech.)

IP routers

- FIFO-queuing
- No stored information on future or past packets
- Distributed route calculation
 - Each router makes individual routing/forwarding decisions





TCP/UDP protocols

- TCP
 - Adaptive end-to-end protocol
 - adapts to network congestion and available resources based on received acknowledgements
 - No fixed sending speed possible
 - · rwnd, in theory
- UDP
 - No end-to-end control
 - Identifies the application
 - Possibility to use for malicious purposes
 - · attacks to router queues





HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Mika Ilvesmäki, M.Sc. (Tech.)

RTP/RTCP protocol

- Works over UDP
- Controls the sending speed
- Enables the recreation of the temporal properties of the original data stream
 - Timestamps, reception buffers
- Used in VoIP, multicast applications







New Internet router functionality

- Service classes beyond best effort need:
 - Admission control
 - Long-term predictable use of network resources
 - Traffic control
 - Short-term predictable use of network resources





HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Mika Ilvesmäki, M.Sc. (Tech.)

IP as the convergence network technology

- Existing infrastructure
 - proved scalability
- Update to IPv6
 - provides support for the increase in host count
- Almost no support for QoS
 - No admission control and no traffic control
 - IP routers do not maintain state
- We need a technology that works with IP and provides for QoS!!





Mika Ilvesmäki, M.Sc. (Tech.)

QoS architectures

- Integrated Services (IntServ)
 - Per-flow, end-to-end solution with quantitative QoS
 - Scalability & Traffic management problems
 - RSVP as the reservation protocol
 - Not a very realistic choice
- Differentiated Services (DiffServ)
 - Offers different service levels to traffic aggregates,
 i.e. qualitative QoS for individual users
 - Technically very feasible solution
 - Difficulty of marketing, only CoS available to the individual user





HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Mika Ilvesmäki, M.Sc. (Tech.)

ATM – the omnipotent L2 technology?!

- Enables admission control
 - blocks users from network usage
- Enables traffic control
 - polices and shapes user traffic
- Enables per-connection QoS
 - High cost in network management





Mika Ilvesmäki, M.Sc. (Tech.)

ATM QoS parameters

- How different connections may be characterized?
- QoS parameters are obligations for the network to provide the service
 - Cell Loss Rate, CLR
 - Cell Delay Variation, CDV and peak-to-peak CDV
 - maximum Cell Transmission Delay, maxCTD and meanCTD
- Traffic parameters restrict the traffic generated by the user
 - Initial Cell Rate, ICR, Minimum Cell Rate, MCR
 - Sustained Cell Rate, SCR, Peak Cell Rate, PCR
 - Cell Delay Variance Tolerance, CDVT
 - Burst Tolerance, BT





HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Mika Ilvesmäki, M.Sc. (Tech.)

IP over ATM solutions

- Classical IP over ATM
- LAN-emulation
- MPLS
- None of the solutions enable IP over ATM QoS
 - No mechanism to convey IP QoS wishes to ATM layer
 - More on IP QoS in S-38.164 lecture





Reality check on networking

- ATM is not everywhere
- IP QoS is only just starting
- Core networks can not handle all services
 - Not enough capacity





HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Mika Ilvesmäki, M.Sc. (Tech.)

Convergence path

- Voice over IP
 - existing solutions, voice traffic is estimated to be the minority traffic compared to data traffic
 - moderate requirements on bandwidth, strict on delay
- TV over IP
 - multicasting is a functioning part of the current Internet
 - High-quality video can not be supported due to high bandwidth demands
 - 1 Mbit/s available, choose one from the following:
 - •40 customers with VoIP á 25 kbit/s
 - •2 customers with IPTV (different channels) á 500 kbit/s



Reality check on convergence

Thesis:

If the service already has an existing platform and infrastructure it is not probable that this service is moved over another infrastructure that may or may not support the service demands and requirements.

Addendum:

No matter how sexy it would be...





HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Mika Ilvesmäki, M.Sc. (Tech.)

Convergence summary

- Idea: Put everything into one network and save the management costs of several networks
 - However the cost of updating the current network to support everything is, for the moment, more costly than managing several networks
- In the Internet, the convergence means, from the technical viewpoint, bringing the ability to differentiate traffic into several service classes with deterministic behavior.
 - QoS!!

