



Paris Metro Pricing

Henri Koskinen

Networking laboratory, HUT

henri.koskinen@hut.fi

April 29, 2003



Motivation

- Agreed goal: differentiated services in the future Internet
 - ⇒ Several QoS mechanisms developed
 - Most mechanisms involve complicated and costly implementations
 - ⇒ Usage sensitive pricing needed
 - Differentiation achieved by allocating limited resources



Paris Metro Pricing (PMP)

- Former pricing scheme in the Paris Metro:
 - First and second class cars identical, only ticket prices different
 - » First class cars attracted fewer people and were on average less crowded

⇒ Paris Metro Pricing proposal [Odlyzko]:

- Partition current Internet into several parallel best-effort subchannels with different usage prices
 - ⇒ Service differentiation through price differentiation
 - ⇒ Sacrifices some utilization efficiency of the network



Technical issues

- Setting the parameters
 - Number of channels
 - Capacities and prices of channels
- Implementation
 - Major change: charging infrastructure
 - Additional changes:
 - Router software
 - Application software
 - Open issues: ISP interoperability, revenue division



Business issues

- Consumer response
 - Willingness to pay for best-effort service?
 - Usage-based pricing vs. consumer preferences
 - Fairness
- Feasibility of PMP: game theoretical studies
 - [Gibbens et al.]: Social planner and monopolist will wish to provide several classes, but duopolists won't
 - [Cao & Shen]: Leader-follower game leads to optimal solution with identical prices in PMP!



Conclusion

- Paris Metro Pricing is the simplest differentiated services solution
 - Simplicity achieved at the cost of utilization efficiency of the network
 - Motivation: consumers prefer simplicity; networking is already seen to be too complicated
- Results of game theoretical studies on PMP depend on the chosen model